

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,766	03/29/2006	Rui Resendes	CH8354PS1148	3999
7590 12/26/2008 Jennifer R. Seng			EXAMINER	
Lanxess Corporation			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
Law & Intellectual Property Department 111 RIDC Park West Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15275-1112			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/573,766 RESENDES ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Robert D. Harlan 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/573,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

 The Amendment filed by Applicant on 11/26/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

 Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 11/26/2008 have been fully considered and they are found unpersuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hergenrother et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,180,710 (hereinafter "Hergenrohter") in combination with Cruse et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,608,125 (hereinafter "Cruse"). Hergenrother teaches a composition precipitated silica, adherent inorganic salts, a byproduct of precipitated silica that promotes better interaction between silica and rubber, which is selected of halobutyl rubber (commonly referred to as bromobutyl), among others. See Hergenrother, col. 2, line 40 through col. 4, line 165 and col. 4, lines 60 through col. 5, line 19. Hergenrother further teaches the use of silane coupling agents including silanes that can have mercaptan groups. See Hergenrother, col. 2, lines 7-10 and col. 4, lines 17-37. Hergenrother also teaches as shown in Table IV a process for curing a filled rubber composition. See Hergenrother, col. 11, lines 52-67, Table IV. Hergenrother differs from the present invention in that the present invention requires a protected thiol modifier (blocked mercapto silane). Cruse teaches in analogous art a

Application/Control Number: 10/573,766 Art Unit: 1796

block mecaptosilane-coupling agents for filed rubbers. See
Cruse, Abstract; col. 2, lines 22-53. In view of Cruse, one
having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify
Hergenrother by using an block mecaptosilane-coupling agents in
the filled rubber composition because Hergenrother makes it
clear that silane coupling agents with mercapto- groups are
desirable with its filled rubber composition. Such modification
would be obvious because one would expect that the use of a
filled rubber composition as taught by Hergenrother is to be
similarly useful and applicable to the filled rubber composition
taught in Cruse. The two references are not only both directed
to rubber compositions but also are both directed to filled
rubber composition with silane based additives.

6. Applicants contend Cruse fail to cure the deficiency of Resendes because Cruse fails to teach or suggest the use of halobutyl elastomers in combination with protected with protected thiol modifiers. This is an incorrect motivational analysis. The halobutyl elastomer is not a deficiency in Resendes and as stated by the Examiner the motivation arises out of both references being direct to silica filled compositions.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,766
Art Unit: 1796

- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert D. Harlan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

rdh